

Amendment and Response

Applicant: Jos Jaspers, et al.

Serial No.: 10/749,421

Filed: December 31, 2003

Docket No.: 200901437-1

Title: CONTENT MANAGEMENT IN WEB ENVIRONMENTS

REMARKS

The following remarks are made in response to the Office Action mailed November 17, 2009. Claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 10-17, 20 and 22-27 were pending and were rejected. With this Response, claims 1 and 14 have been amended. Claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 10-17, 20 and 22-27 remain pending in the application and are presented for reconsideration and allowance.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

In the Office Action, claims 1, 3-4, 8, 10-17, 20 and 22-26 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Underwood et al. U.S. Patent 7,152,207 B1 (the Underwood Patent). Claims 1 and 14 are independent claims, and claims 3-4, 8, 10-13 and 26 depend from claim 1. Claims 15-17, 20, and 22-25 depend from claim 14.

With this Response, claims 1 and 14 are amended. Claim 1 has been amended to include the features of “allowing access to the Web page to a site administrator, and allow defined permissions to a content publisher to access selected particular location within the Web page while the Web page is published to permit an updating to the contents by the content publisher.” Claim 14 has been amended to include the features of “allow access to the Web page to a site administrator, and allow defined permissions to a content publisher to access selected particular location within the Web page while the Web page is published to permit an updating to the contents by the content publisher.” Support for these amendments can be found throughout the specification and drawings. For example, support can be found at page 10, lines 1-2; page 10, lines 8-9, and page 10, lines 17-19, among other portions of the application as filed.

The prior art of record does not teach or make obvious the amended features. The Underwood Patent does not provide for defined permissions of access to permit updating to the contents in addition to its feature of Adding Web Pages taught at column 15, lines 54-67. In connection with this, the Underwood patent contemplates allowing others, i.e., besides the site administrator, to create websites if they log on to the system, such as column 6, lines 31-38, and column 16, lines 48-60. A site developer, whether a Site Administrator or a Content Provider, however, has either all or nothing access to the Web environment by logging onto the system. Accordingly, the Underwood Patent does not teach defined permissions to access

Amendment and Response

Applicant: Jos Jaspers, et al.

Serial No.: 10/749,421

Filed: December 31, 2003

Docket No.: 200901437-1

Title: CONTENT MANAGEMENT IN WEB ENVIRONMENTS

to another to selected particular location within the Web Page while the Web page is published to permit an updating to the contents by the content publisher.

Thus, Applicant submits that amended independent claims 1 and 14 are patentably distinguishable from the prior art. Claims 3-4, 8, 10-13 and 26 depend from claim 1, and claims 15-17, 20, and 22-25 depend from claim 14. The dependent claims serve to further define the features of the patentably distinguishable independent claims, and, by virtue of their dependency, are also patentably distinguishable from the prior art. Because the features of claims 1 and 14 are missing from the Underwood patent, and are not otherwise made obvious in the prior art, Applicant respectfully request removal of the rejection based on 35 U.S.C. 102(e) and request allowance of claims 1, 3-4, 8, 10-17, 20 and 22-26.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

In the Office Action, claim 27 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Underwood Patent and the Maeno et al. U.S. Patent 7,299,414 B2 (the Maeno Patent). Claim 27 depends from claim 1, which has been demonstrated above as patentably distinguishable from the Underwood Patent. The Maeno Patent also does not teach or make obvious the amended features missing from the independent claims. Because the amended features are missing from each of the prior art references separately, the amended features cannot be found in any proposed combination of the references. Applicant respectfully request removal of the rejection based on 35 U.S.C. 103(a) and request allowance of claim 27.

Amendment and Response

Applicant: Jos Jaspers, et al.

Serial No.: 10/749,421

Filed: December 31, 2003

Docket No.: 200901437-1

Title: CONTENT MANAGEMENT IN WEB ENVIRONMENTS

CONCLUSION

In view of the above, Applicant respectfully submits that pending claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 10-17, 20 and 22-27 are in form for allowance and are not taught or suggested by the cited references. Therefore, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections and allowance of claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 10-17, 20 and 22-27 is respectfully requested.

No fees are required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16(h)(i). However, if such fees are required, the Patent Office is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 08-2025.

The Examiner is invited to contact the Applicant's representative at the below-listed telephone numbers to facilitate prosecution of this application.

Any inquiry regarding this Amendment and Response should be directed to Patrick G. Billig at Telephone No. (612) 573-2003, Facsimile No. (612) 573-2005.

Respectfully submitted,

Jos Jaspers, et al.,

By their attorneys,

DICKE, BILLIG & CZAJA, PLLC
Fifth Street Towers, Suite 2250
100 South Fifth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 573-2003
Facsimile: (612) 573-2005

Date: February 17, 2010
PGB:RH:cms

/Patrick G. Billig/
Patrick G. Billig
Reg. No. 38,080